(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
WILLIAM L. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINER		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate provider. It is not necessary for appli	The examiner will provide	a written summary of the substance
Part III.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Claims 29, 30, 43, and 44 were amended to overcome claim surface of the mineral slab is the portion thereof contiguous v	objections and to pass the cal-	se to issue by clarifying that the bottom
Part II.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Claims discussed: 29, 30, 43, and 44		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	olicant's representative)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App	Ji	
Date of Interview: 21 September 2006	Time:	
(2) <u>Glenn Seager</u> .	(4)	
(1) William L. Miller.	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
	William L. Miller	3677
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	10/662,076	FISCHER, MICHAEL
	Application No.	Applicant(s)